### CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

**Project Name:** LUL #3073375 for the installation of a drinking water pipeline.

**Proposed** 

**Implementation Date:** Spring/Summer 2019

**Proponent:** Flesch Farms Inc., PO Box 574, Shelby, MT 59474

**Location:** SE4NE4, Section 15, T33N, R2W

**County:** Toole County

Trust: Common Schools (CS)

## I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

Flesch Farms Inc. has requested to install a new buried drinking water pipeline across one tract of state land that taps into the existing North Central Montana Regional Water Project Shelby to Sweetgrass pipeline. The proposed installation route is between the existing 12" water pipeline and the Oilmont Road South. The buried drinking water pipeline with cross approximately 120.00' of state land.

### II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

## 1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

**DNRC-Surface Owner** 

Flesch Farms Inc.-Surface Lessee and Proponent, Lease #6583

## 2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

DNRC is not aware of any other agencies with jurisdiction or other permits needed to complete this project.

### 3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A (No Action) – Deny Flesch Farms Inc. permission to install the new buried drinking water pipeline.

Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant Flesch Farms Inc. permission to install the new buried drinking water pipeline.

## III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

### 4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

Soils at the proposed project sites are silty in texture. The topography is flat, and the pipeline will be installed adjacent to a water pipeline and county road. The soils and slopes are generally suitable for the installation of the buried drinking water pipeline. Equipment will cause localized areas of soil compaction and will disturb the soil where the new water pipeline is installed. All disturbed areas will be leveled and reseeded with permanent vegetation. Cumulative impacts on soil resources are not expected.

## 5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

NCMRWA holds the necessary water rights and permits for this proposed municipal water project. Other water quality and/or quantity issues will not be impacted by the proposed action.

#### 6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

The proposed action will not impact the air quality.

## 7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Vegetation will be minimally impacted along the 120.00' project area where the buried drinking water pipeline is installed. The entire route is located on grazing land seeded to tame pasture. Noxious and annual weeds within the proposed construction areas are a concern but will be mitigated by the applicant's requirement to control said weeds in the project area. Cumulative impacts on the vegetative resources are not expected as the proposed construction areas will be reclaimed and reseeded to permanent vegetation.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted and there were no plant species of concern noted or potential species of concern noted on the NRIS survey.

### 8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

The area is not considered critical wildlife habitat. However, this tract provides habitat for a variety of big game species (mule deer, whitetail deer, and pronghorn antelope), predators (coyote, fox, and badger), upland game birds (sharp tail grouse, Hungarian partridge), other non-game mammals, raptors and various songbirds. The proposal does not include any land use change which would yield changes to the wildlife habitat. The proposed action will not impact wildlife forage, cover, or traveling corridors. Nor will this action change the juxtaposition of wildlife forage, water, or hiding and thermal cover. Wildlife usage is expected to return to "normal" (pre-action usage) following the installation of the new overhead power distribution line. The proposed action will not have long-term negative effects on existing wildlife species and/or wildlife habitat.

### 9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

There are no threatened or endangered species, sensitive habitat types, or other species of special concern associated with the proposed project area. At this time, no known unique, endangered, fragile or limited environmental resources have been identified within the proposed project area.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted for T33N, R2W. There were four species of concern: Little Brown Myotis, Ferruginous Hawk, Chestnut-collared Longspur, and Loggerhead Shrike. This tract of tame grass does not contain any of these species. If any are present, they will be dispersed into the surrounding permanent cover and return to the project area once it is completed.

#### 10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

A Class I (literature review) level review was conducted by the DNRC staff archaeologist for the area of potential effect (APE). This entailed inspection of project maps, DNRC's sites/site leads database, land use records, General Land Office Survey Plats, and control cards. The Class I search revealed that no cultural or paleontological resources have been identified in the APE. Because the area of potential effect on state land was once cultivated, because the Holocene age soils in the APE are relatively thin, and because the local geology is not likely to produce caves, rock shelters, or sources of tool stone, no additional archaeological investigative work will be conducted in response to this proposed development. However, if previously unknown cultural or paleontological materials are identified during project related activities, all work will cease until a professional assessment of such resources can be made.

#### 11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Installation of new buried drinking water pipeline will not affect the long-term aesthetics of the land because it will be buried and not visible.

### 12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

The demand on environmental resources such as land, water, air, or energy will not be affected by the proposed action. The proposed action will not consume resources that are limited in the area. There are no other projects in the area that will affect the proposed project.

## 13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

There are no other projects or plans being considered on the tract listed on this EA.

### IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

#### 14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

The proposed project will not change human safety in the area.

### 15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

The results of this project will add to the industrial, commercial, or agricultural activities or production in the area as it will provide a safe, consistent source of potable water.

#### 16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

The proposed action will not significantly affect long-term employment in the surrounding communities.

#### 17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

The proposed action will not affect tax revenue.

#### 18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

This project is of a small scale and being funded by the proponent. There will be no excessive stress placed of the existing infrastructure of the area.

### 19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

The proposed action follows State and County laws. No other management plans are in effect for the area.

#### 20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

The tract is legally accessible, and the proposed action is not expected to impact general recreational and wilderness activities on this state tract.

## 21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing

The proposal does not include any changes to housing or developments.

No direct or cumulative effects to population or housing are anticipated.

### 22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

There are no native, unique or traditional lifestyles or communities in the vicinity that would be impacted by the proposal.

#### 23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

The proposed action will not impact the cultural uniqueness or diversity of the area.

# 24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

This project will generate \$25.00 for the LUL application fee and \$200.00 annually for the ten-year term of the license. Cumulative impacts are not likely as the area is only used for livestock grazing and the buried drinking water pipeline will improve the long-term viability of the proponent by providing reliable drinking water.

EA Checklist Prepared By:	Name:	Tony Nickol	Date:	September 30, 2019
	Title:	Land Use Specialist, Conrad Unit, Central Land Office		

V. FINDINGS					
25. ALTERNATIVE S	ELECTED:				
Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant Flesch Farms Inc. permission to install the new buried drinking water pipeline.					
26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:					
No significant impacts are expected.					
27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:					
EIS		More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis			
EA Checklist	Name:	Erik Eneboe			
Approved By:	Title:	Conrad Unit Manager, CLO, DNRC			
Signature:	46	Date: September 30, 2019			

Toole County, Montana North Central Montana Regional Water Project-Shelby to Sweetgrass Water-Pipeline. New Water Pipeline 120.00' on state owned land. E2 Section 15, T33N, R2W Toole County Oilmont Road South U.S. Department of Agr Photography Field Office 0.5 Miles 0.125 0.25